

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/723,900 | 11/25/2003 | Charles L. Tazzia | IN-5653 | 1184 | |
| 26922 BASF CORPO | 7590 03/09/200° RATION | 1 | EXAMINER | | |
| Patent Department | | | SERGENT, RABON A | | |
| 1609 BIDDLE AVENUE MAIN BUILDING | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WYANDOTTE | | | 1711 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 03/09/2007 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ANNE.SABOURIN@BASF.COM LORI.HASS@BASF.COM MARJORIE.ELLIS@BASF.COM

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|--|---|
| Advisory Action | 10/723,900 | TAZZIA, CHARLES | L. |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Rabon Sergent | 1711 | * |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>07 February 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS | | • | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl | f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 0 | ence, which CFR 41.31; or |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of | • | 6 1 | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that | | | r is later. In no |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI | • | OWT NIHTIW C |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) by reduce any |
| of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) |), to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | nsideration and/or search (see NOw); | TE below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | | the issues for |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | jected craims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) |): | | (1 1 5 2 5 2 1). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | | , timely filed amendm | ent canceling |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | will not be entered, or b) wided below or appended. ■ wided below or appended. ■ will not be entered, or b) ■ will not be entered and be en | ill be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | • |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | • |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat | lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An evaluation | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | ii oi the status of the claims after 6 | enity is below or attac | nea. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | |

Rabon Sergent
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1711

13. Other: ____.

Continuation of 11.: The prior art rejection has been maintained for the reasons previously sert forth within the final Office action. As aforementioned, given the teachings within the primary reference concerning the use of uretdione containing isocyanate as a preferred crosslinking agent, the position is taken that one of ordinary skill would have been motivated to utilize the uretdione crosslinking agents of the secondary references, so as to arrive at the instant invention.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER